

# Gaussian Sobolev Spaces and Polynomial Approximation

March 4, 2025

So far, we've worked with the Sobolev model on even 2-periodic functions.

$$\mathcal{M} = \{\text{even 2-periodic } m(x) : \rho(m) \leq B\} \quad \text{for} \quad \rho(m) = \left\| \frac{d}{dx} m \right\|_{L_2} \quad (1)$$

Because curves on  $[0, 1]$  are in one-to-one correspondence with even periodic functions,<sup>1</sup> this is a natural model for functions on the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . Because  $\|u\|_{L_2}^2 = \mathbb{E} u(X)^2$  for  $X$  uniformly distribution on  $[0, 1]$ , our constraint requires  $|m'(X)|$  to be small most of the time for  $X$  with this distribution.

In this homework, we'll see what happens when we impose the same constraint for  $X$  with another distribution: the standard normal distribution. This is a bit more natural if our covariates  $X_i$  tend to be near zero but aren't bounded. And it saves us a little trouble, as we'll be modeling functions on all of  $\mathbb{R}$  directly, so we won't need to think about periodic extension. Here's the model.

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ m : \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} m(x)^2 f(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(m) \leq B \right\} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{for} \quad \rho(m) = \sqrt{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \frac{d}{dx} m(x) \right\}^2 f(x) dx}$$

where  $f(x) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi})e^{-x^2/2}$  is the probability density for the standard normal distribution. This is a space of smooth functions  $m$  from  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that don't grow too fast as  $x$  approaches  $\pm\infty$ .

We'll find a Fourier-series characterization of this model, show that the basis functions  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots$  involved in this characterization are the polynomials of order  $0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and find a uniform bound on the error we get when we use polynomials of order  $N-1$  to approximate the functions in this model. We can use this bound to implement an approximate least squares estimator using this model using finite-dimensional approximation, much like we did with the Sobolev model (1). But, least squares in polynomial models being fairly popular, it's also useful interpretively. E.g., when somebody says fitting a cubic polynomial model is 'good enough', we can look at the approximation error we get using cubic

polynomials to approximate possible signals  $\mu$  in the model  $\mathcal{M}$  to think about whether we agree.

Throughout, we'll use the gaussian inner product and associated norm.

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u(x)v(x)f(x)dx \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle} \quad \text{for} \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^2/2} \quad (3)$$

If we prefer, we can think of these in terms of expectations involving a standard normal random variable.

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \mathbb{E} u(X)v(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\| = \sqrt{\mathbb{E} v(X)^2} \quad \text{for} \quad X \sim N(0, 1). \quad (4)$$

## 1 Fourier Series

Our first step is characterizing the adjoint of the differential operator  $\frac{d}{dx}$ . This isn't  $-\frac{d}{dx}$ , as it was when we were talking about the model (1), because we're working with a different vector space of functions with a different inner product.

**Exercise 1** Show that if we're using the gaussian inner product, the adjoint of the first derivative operator  $Lv(x) = \frac{d}{dx}v(x)$  is  $L^*u(x) = xu(x) - \frac{d}{dx}u(x)$ .

**Hint.** You can prove that the adjoint  $L^*$  satisfies  $\langle L^*u, v \rangle = \langle u, Lv \rangle$  using integration by parts on  $\int \{f(x)u(x)\}v'(x)dx$ . Why is it important that the functions and their derivatives don't grow too fast as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ? How does that relate to the periodicity restriction we use with (1)?

Using this, we can characterize the self-adjoint operator  $L^*L$ . One advantage of this alternate definition is that it allows us to define a family of models,  $\mathcal{M}^p$  for positive integers  $p$ , based on powers of the operator.<sup>2</sup>

$$\mathcal{M}^p = \left\{ m : \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} m(x)^2 \phi(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_p(m) \leq B \right\} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{for} \quad \rho_p(m) = \sqrt{\langle (L^*L)^p m, m \rangle}$$

**Exercise 2** What is the self-adjoint operator  $L^*L$  in this case? In terms of it, write an alternate definition of the seminorm  $\rho$  in (2). Something like the definition of  $\rho_p$  in from (5) for  $p = 1$ , but more concrete. Then, if you like, write one for the seminorm  $\rho_2$  from (5) too.

Having this definition (5) also reduces Fourier series representation to the calculation of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $L^*L$ . We'll show that these eigenvectors are polynomials and work out an efficient way of computing them.

We have at least two polynomial eigenvectors: the functions 1 and  $x$  are eigenvectors with corresponding eigenvalues 0 and 1. You can check. To find more, we'll start by working out a recursive formula.

**Exercise 3** Show that if  $\phi$  is an eigenvector of  $L^*L$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $\phi'$  is an eigenvector of  $L^*L$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda - 1$ .

**Hint.** Differentiate both sides of the identity  $L^*L \phi(x) = \lambda \phi(x)$ .

Let's interpret this. Suppose that  $L^*L$  has an eigenvector  $\phi_j$  that is a polynomial of order  $j$  with corresponding eigenvalue is  $\lambda_j$ . Because the derivative of a  $j$ th order polynomial is a  $(j - 1)$ th order polynomial, Exercise 3 would imply that we would also have an eigenvector  $\phi'_j$  that's a polynomial of order  $j - 1$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda_j - 1$ . And therefore, applying the same reasoning to  $\phi'_j$ , that we'd also have an eigenvector  $\phi''_j$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_j - 2$ , etc. This would be consistent with what we know already: we do have polynomial eigenvectors  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$  of orders 0 and 1 and the corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and  $\lambda_1 = 1$  do satisfy the recursive formula  $\lambda_{j-1} = \lambda_j - 1$ . But none of that tells us that our premise is true, i.e. that  $L^*L$  actually does have an eigenvector  $\phi_j$  that is a polynomial of order  $j$ .

What we do know is that it has eigenvectors  $\phi_j$  that are polynomials of order  $j$ , with corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_j = j$ , for  $j < 2$ . If we want to show this is true for all  $j$ , we need to go 'up' from  $j = 0$  to  $j = 1$  to  $j = 2$  etc. instead of going 'down' from  $j$  to  $j - 1$  to  $j - 2$  etc. To *increase* the order of a polynomial eigenvector  $\phi_{j-1}(x)$ , we can multiply it by  $x$ . The result,  $x\phi_{j-1}(x)$ , won't be an eigenvector. But it's a place to start. What we'll do is write out our  $j + 1$ st eigenvector as a 'corrected version' of this guess, i.e.  $\phi_{j+1}(x) = x\phi_j(x) - u(x)$ , then work out what 'correction'  $u(x)$  we need to use to make it an eigenvector.

**Exercise 4** Suppose that  $\phi_{j-1}$  satisfies  $L^*L\phi_{j-1}(x) = (\lambda_j - 1)\phi_{j-1}(x)$ . Find a function  $u_j(x)$  so that  $\phi_j(x) = x\phi_{j-1}(x) - u_j(x)$  satisfies  $L^*L \phi_j(x) = \lambda_j \phi_j(x)$ .

**Tip.** You could solve for  $u_j(x)$  so that  $L^*L\{x\phi_{j-1}(x) - u_j(x)\} = \lambda_j\{x\phi_{j-1}(x) - u_j(x)\}$ , but that's working harder than you need to. Exercise 3 suggests an easier approach. We know that if  $\phi_j$  is an eigenvector, then so is  $\phi'_j$  and therefore  $\frac{1}{c}\phi'_j$  for any constant  $c$ . So we can try to find  $u_j(x)$  by solving the simpler differential equation  $\phi'_j = \{x\phi_{j-1}(x) - u_j(x)\}' = c\phi_{j-1}(x)$ , then check that it satisfies  $L^*L\{x\phi_{j-1}(x) - u_j(x)\} = \lambda_j\{x\phi_{j-1}(x) - u_j(x)\}$ .

**Tip.** To find  $u_j(x)$ , compare  $c\phi_{j-1}(x) = \{x\phi_{j-1}(x) - u_j(x)\}'$  to  $(\lambda_j - 1)\phi_{j-1}(x) = L^*L\phi_{j-1}(x) = x\phi'_{j-1}(x) - \phi''_{j-1}(x)$ .

What does this tell us about whether the eigenvectors of  $L^*L$  are polynomials? If  $\phi_{j-1}$  and  $u_j$  are both polynomials, then so is  $\phi_j = x\phi_{j-1} - u_j$ . Using the 'base case' that  $L^*L$  has polynomial eigenvectors  $\phi_j$  of order  $j$  and corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_j = j$  for all natural numbers  $j < K$  when  $K = 2$ , we can prove by induction on  $K$  that the same is true for all natural numbers  $j$ .

Because  $L^*L$  is a self-adjoint linear operator, we know that these polynomials  $\phi_j$  are orthogonal. In fact, we know that  $\phi_0 \dots \phi_j$  is an orthogonal basis for the space of all polynomials of order  $j$ , i.e., for the vector space spanned by the vectors  $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^j$ . Why? Because it's a set of  $j + 1$  orthogonal, and therefore linearly independent, vectors in a  $j + 1$  dimensional vector space. If we're willing to buy the premise that we can write every function in our model as

a *power series*, i.e. that our model is spanned by the basis functions  $1, x, x^2, \dots$ , it follows that it's also spanned by the eigenvectors  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$ .

That means we've got an orthogonal basis we can use to write a Fourier series representation of the model. However, when we do that, we will want to scale our eigenvectors so that  $\|\phi_j\| = 1$ .<sup>1</sup>

**Exercise 5** Suppose that  $\phi_j$  with  $\|\phi_j\| = 1$  is an eigenvector of  $L^*L$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_j = j$  for  $j \geq 1$ . Show that  $\|\phi'_j\| = \sqrt{\lambda_j}$ , so Exercise 3 implies that  $\phi_{j-1} = \phi'_j/\sqrt{j}$  is an eigenvector of  $L^*L$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda_{j-1} = j-1$  and norm  $\|\phi_{j-1}\| = 1$ .

**Hint.**  $\|\phi'\|^2 = \langle L\phi', L\phi' \rangle = \langle L^*L\phi, \phi \rangle$  for  $L = \frac{d}{dx}$ .

We'll also want an efficient way of computing these eigenvectors. Something like the recursive formula in Exercise 4, which we can use to compute the eigenvector  $\phi_j$  from eigenvectors  $\phi_0 \dots \phi_{j-1}$ , but ideally without needing to compute any derivatives.

**Exercise 6** Suppose that, for all natural numbers  $j$ ,  $\phi_j$  with  $\|\phi_j\| = 1$  is an eigenvector of  $L^*L$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_j = j$ . Furthermore, suppose that this sequence satisfies  $\phi_{j-1} = \phi'_j/\sqrt{j}$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . Prove that  $\phi_j = x\phi_{j-1}/\sqrt{j} - \phi_{j-2}\sqrt{(j-1)/j}$  for  $j \geq 2$ .

**Hint.**  $j\phi_j = x\phi'_j - \phi''_j$ . How are  $\phi'_j$  and  $\phi''_j$  related to  $\phi_{j-1}$  and  $\phi_{j-2}$ ?

## 2 Polynomial Approximation

Given the Fourier series representation of the model, we can use results from the Sobolev models homework to get a uniform bound on the error we get using polynomials of order  $N-1$  to approximate functions in the model.

**Exercise 7** What is the maximal error of polynomial approximations of order  $N-1$  for functions  $m$  in  $\mathcal{M}^p$ , i.e. what is

$$\max_{m \in \mathcal{M}^p} \min_{a_0 \dots a_{N-1}} \left\| m - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_j x^j \right\| \quad \text{for } \|u\| = \sqrt{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u(x)^2 \phi(x) dx}?$$

If we want to ensure this is no more than  $\epsilon$ , how large do we need to make  $N$ ?

With reference to the Sobolev models homework, briefly explain how you know that you cannot do better than this using a different  $N$ -dimensional basis. A sentence should do.

---

<sup>1</sup>Often, e.g. in this Wikipedia article, the eigenvectors are 'normalized' so the coefficient on  $x^j$  in the polynomial  $\phi_j$  is 1. This is a different thing.